

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/966,028	09/28/2001	Maurice Granger	1759.053	4669	
75	90 10/07/2003	EXAMINER  DEXTER, CLARK F  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
John Pietrange					
Heslin Rothenbe	erg Farley & Mesiti P.C.				
Albany, NY 1			3724	17	
			DATE MAILED: 10/07/2003	, , ,	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



# Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/966,028 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

Granger

|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|

Clark F. Dexter 3724 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. · If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 16, 2003 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) X This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) X Claim(s) 16-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) 💢 Claim(s) 16-33 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 8) Claims **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12)  $\square$  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)  $\boxtimes$  All b)  $\square$  Some\* c)  $\square$  None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. X Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/966,028 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The amendment filed July 16, 2003 has been entered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 28, line 2, structural cooperation is lacking for "reel", particularly with respect to the housing.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 16-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Granger, pn 4,635,837 (hereafter Granger '837), in view of Fleischauer, pn 3,961,700.

Granger '837 discloses an apparatus with almost every structural limitation of the claimed invention as best understood from the claims including a shaft (e.g., 38) but lacks the shaft

ć

Application/Control Number: 09/966,028 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

having a configuration wherein the length of the central section is greater than the width of the at least one groove. However, the Examiner takes Official notice that such a shaft configuration is old and well known in the art as evidenced by Fleischauer (e.g., 58 in Fig. 2, or 66 in Fig. 3A) and provides well known benefits including providing an effective interface between a drive belt and a shaft for maintaining the belt in position on the dolly axle portion of the shaft. A further known benefit of such a shaft configuration is that it provides a groove with arcuate rather than square surfaces which reduces undue wear on the belt. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide the shaft of Granger with the claimed configuration for the well known benefits including those described above.

## Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed April 21 2003 and July 16, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clark Dexter whose telephone number is (703) 308-1404. The examiner's typical work schedule is Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday, and he can be reached during normal business hours on these days.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Allan Shoap, can be reached at (703)308-1082.

Application/Control Number: 09/966,028

Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1148. The fax numbers Technology Center 3700 are: after-final responses - (703)872-9303; other formal/official papers - (703)872-9302. The fax number for informal/draft papers - (703)305-9835.

Clark F. Dexter Primary Examiner Art Unit 3724

cfd October 6, 2003